

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/807,595	10/807,595 03/24/2004		Raymond A. Lia	702-105	3628	
20874	7590	11/03/2005		EXAMINER		
WALL MARJAMA & BILINSKI				SMITH, PHILIP ROBERT		
101 SOUTH	SALINA	STREET				
SUITE 400	•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SYRACUSE, NY 13202				3739		

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/807,595	LIA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Philip R. Smith	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above.	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a i a reply within the statutory minimum of thin	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel	
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 			
Status			. •
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	4 March 2004.		
	This action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
•			
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the applica			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	drawn from consideration.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,	
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
: •	•		
Application Papers			, •
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	
Applicant may not request that any objection to		·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•		` '
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	3 Office Action or form P	10-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	. •
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			Stage
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
			·
	•		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT0 	O-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/807,595

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Restrictions

- [01] Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - [01a] I.Claims 1-14, drawn to a method for calibrating a remote viewing device, classified in class 600, subclass 149.
 - [01b] II. Claims 15-31, drawn to a remote viewing device, classified in class 600, subclass 146.
- [02] Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the remote viewing device of group II may be used for a materially different process, such as remotely viewing a surgical location.
- [03] A serious burden to the examiner exists in that the method of calibration in group I is applicable to any instrument comprising a flexible tube.

Application/Control Number: 10/807,595

Art Unit: 3739

Election of Species

- [04] This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of mounting and adjustment system:
 - [04a] The mounting and adjustment system 100 of Figure 2A.
 - [04b] The mounting and adjustment system 100' of Figure 2B.
 - [04c] The mounting and adjustment system 100" of Figure 2C.
 - [04d] Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- [05] Additionally, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of recalibration caps:
 - [05a] The recalibration cap 200a of Figure 3C.
 - [05b] The recalibration cap 200b of Figure 3D.
 - [05c] Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an

Application/Control Number: 10/807,595

Art Unit: 3739

election.

- [06] Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- [07] Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

- [08] Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip R. Smith whose telephone number is (571) 272 6087 and whose email address is philip smith@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached between 9:00am and 5:00pm.
- [09] If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272 4764.
- [10] Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Art Unit: 3739

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

[11] prs

John P. Leubecker Primary Examiner